



Pathology of Broiler Chicks Naturally Infected with *Salmonella enteritidis* (*S. enteritidis*) & *Salmonella typhimurium* (*S. typhimurium*) During an Outbreak in Sudan

E. A. Muna^{1*}, Manal H. Salih², A. M. Zakia², M. O. Halima², A. M. Abeer¹,
M. M. Ameera¹, Huda O. Ali¹ and Sowsan B. Idris²

¹Department of Bacteriology, Veterinary Research Institute, A Lamarat, Animal Resources Research Corporation, Khartoum, Sudan.

²Department of Pathology, Veterinary Research Institute, A Lamarat, Animal Resources Research Corporation, Khartoum, Sudan.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JSRR/2016/23431

Editor(s):

(1) Yung-Fu Chang, Department of population Medicine and diagnostic Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, USA.

Reviewers:

(1) Bergaoui Ridha, National Institute of Agronomy, Tunisia.
(2) Ionica Mihaela Iancu, Banat University of Agronomical Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Timisoara, Romania.
Complete Peer review History: <http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/12964>

Original Research Article

Received 30th November 2015

Accepted 31st December 2015

Published 13th January 2016

ABSTRACT

Aims of the Study: To isolate and identify bacteria causing the disease, Characterize the bacterial isolates uses the automated machine vitek 2 compact, serotyping and Phage typing of bacterial isolates and study the histopathological finding due to the causative agents.

Place of Study: The samples collected from poultry farm included liver, intestine, kidney, spleen, heart, trachea and brain. Then transported immediately on ice to the Veterinary Research Institute, Soba for isolation, identification and characterization of bacteria.

Study Design: A total of eight thousand (8,000) broiler chicks, of the 'Ross' breed, were bought for commercial benefits in March 2014. Due to mortality that was started at the first day, postmortem was done to investigate the gross lesions and taking samples from liver, intestine, kidney, spleen,

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mna2t@hotmail.com;

heart, trachea and brain.

Methodology: 52 samples from that organs were Isolated and identified according to bacteriological standard methods.

Automated system Vitek 2 compact was used to confirm and characterize the isolates.

Serotyping and phagotyping of isolates were done as further characterization.

Gross and histopathological lesions on different tissues were studied. All the histopathological pictures were found similar to those done by the previous researchers.

Keywords: Histopathology; *S. enteritidis*; *S. typhimurium*; Vitek 2; serotyping; phagotyping.

1. INTRODUCTION

Among the food-borne pathogens the genus *Salmonella* is one of the most common causes of foodborne infections worldwide [1,2]. More than 2,500 different serovars of *Salmonella enterica* had been identified and most of them had been described as the cause of human infections, but only a limited number of serovars are of public health importance. Most reports have mentioned *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis as the most common causes of human salmonellosis world wide [3,4]. *S. enteritidis* was the most prevalent serovar isolated from patients and food preparations in a survey conducted in southern Brazil from 1999 to 2008 [5]. It was estimated that approximately 75% of human *Salmonella* infection cases were due to contaminated food products derived from beef, pork, poultry and eggs [6] Poultry often become infected through the consumption of contaminated feed, cross-contamination in breeding houses, or during slaughter and processing [7]. An infection with *Salmonella* usually starts by ingestion, followed by colonization in the intestine. After colonization, *Salmonella* is able to penetrate the mucosal epithelium which results in a systemic infection, with colonization of the spleen and liver [8]. With increasing regulatory pressure placed on poultry and livestock processors to reduce pathogen contamination in processed meats, more emphasis is likely to be focused on reducing pathogen contamination on farms [9]. Therefore, development of a rapid and sensitive method to *Salmonella* spp and their Serovars is desirable. Several techniques for improving the detection of *Salmonella* Serovars in fecal material such as the use of a selective culture medium and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay have been developed [10,11]. However, problems remain with sensitivity and specificity that have limited routine use of these procedures. In general, these methods are laborious and time-consuming, in contrast with molecular methods

that reduce the time of diagnostic with the same efficiency [12,13].

1.1 The Objectives

The objectives of the study was to isolate and identify bacteria causing the disease, Characterization of the bacterial isolates uses the automated machine vitek 2 compact, serotyping and Phage typing of bacterial isolates and study the histopathological finding due to the causative agents.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of eight thousand (8,000) broiler chicks, of the 'Ross' breed, were bought for commercial benefits in March 2014. Mortality was observed in 2,000 (25%) of the total chicks; therefore, 52 samples were taken from different organs for culturing, identification and characterization of causative agents. Due to mortality that was started at the first day, postmortem was done to investigate the gross lesions and taking samples from liver, intestine, kidney, spleen, heart, trachea and brain. All tissue samples were collected and handled aseptically to prevent cross contamination using sterile sampling materials.

2.1 Bacterial Isolation and Identification

Samples of broiler chicks including liver ($n=10$), intestine ($n=10$), heart ($n=10$), kidney ($n=10$), spleen ($n=10$), trachea and brain (one sample each) were each inoculated in selenite broth medium and incubated at 37°C for 18-24 hours then purified on nutrient agar, macconkey agar and xylose-lysine-desoxycholate agar (XLD). Cellular, colony morphology and biochemical characteristics of each isolate were tested.

Conventional identification was done according to [14].

2.2 Characterization of Bacteria Using Vitek 2 Compact

Ten representative isolates, selected from each of the examined organs, were furtherly characterized using full automated system Vitek 2 compact [15] to confirm the species *S. enterica*. The Gram Negative card that used in Vitek2 compact was based on established biochemical methods and newly developed substrates measuring carbon source utilization, enzymatic activities and resistance [16-18]. The GN card used contained a total of 47 wells representing 47 different biochemical tests and one negative control well. Identification was done according to the manufacturer's guidelines.

2.3 Salmonella Serotyping and Phage Typing

Ten presumptive *Salmonella* isolates (selected based on their biochemical reactions and vitek 2 compact results) were shipped to the Public Health Agency, Office International des Epizooties (OIE) Reference Laboratory for Salmonellosis, Guelph, Ontario, Canada of serotyping and phage typing. The antigenic formulae of Popoff and Le Minor [16] were used to name the serovars. Phage typing was performed using the standard phage typing technique described by Anderson and Williams [1].

2.4 Histopathological Method

Tissue specimen collected for histopathological examination were fixed in 10% formalin solution, processed by standard paraffin embedding technique; microtomy of the embedded tissue to 5-6 micron thick sections was carried out. The sections were placed onto glass slides, dried and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Conventional Biochemical Tests Identification

A total of 52 bacterial isolates, cultured from different internal organs, were recovered on selenite broth, Nutrient, MacConkey and XLD media. All of the isolates were Gram negative and have shown colony characteristic typical to *Salmonella* spp. The isolates were positive for citrate, H₂S and methyl red tests and they were

negative for indole, Voges-Proskauer and urease tests. The identity of suspected black colonies from XLD and pale colonies from macConkey agar were biochemically confirmed.

3.2 Vitek 2 Compact Automated System

Result of the Vitek 2 compact system showed that the isolates were typical *Salmonella enterica*.

3.3 Serotyping and Phage typing

Serotyping test showed that all of the tested isolates ($n = 10$) were members of *S. enteric* subspecies enterica. Results in Table 1 show that nine of the ten isolates reported here belonged to serovar *Enteritidis* (9,12:g,m:-) and one isolates was serotyped as *S. typhimurium* (4,5:i:1,2). All of the nine *S. enteritidis* isolates were phagetype 3a while the *S. typhimurium* isolate was phagetype 2.

Table 1. *Salmonella* serotyping and phage typing results

<i>Salmonella</i> isolate No	Antigenic formula	Serovar	Phagetype
1	9,12:g,m:	Enteritidis	3a
2	9,12:g,m:	Enteritidis	3a
3	4,5:i:1,2	Typhimurium2	
4	9,12:g,m:	Enteritidis	3a
5	9,12:g,m:	Enteritidis	3a
6	9,12:g,m:	Enteritidis	3a
7	9,12:g,m:	Enteritidis	3a
8	9,12:g,m:	Enteritidis	3a
9	9,12:g,m:	Enteritidis	3a
10	9,12:g,m:	Enteritidis	3a

The mortality rate of 8.000 chicks was 25% (2000). The other chicks which were 75 % (6000) survived under treatment using Gentadox (Avico) that contain 200 mg of gentamycin sulphate and 125 mg of doxycycline hydrochloride.

3.4 Pathological Finding

3.4.1 Grossly

The freshly dead birds showed discoloration and enlargement of liver, splenomegaly, inflammation and thickening of intestinal mucosae. Necrotic foci on the surface of the spleen and liver, other changes included mild grayish nodular areas on the heart.

3.4.2 Histopathologically

3.4.2.1 Liver

Liver showed congestion, haemorrhage, focal degeneration and necrosis, inflammatory cells infiltration locally at perivascular areas and thrombi in central vein. hepatocytes with hydropic vaculation. Complete necrosis in some areas where debris replaced hepatocytes. Dilatation of sinusoids (Fig. 1). Also thickening of liver capsule in some section and loss of liver cord appearance (Fig. 2).




Fig. 1. Liver section shows thrombi in central vein, necrosis, prevascular cuffing, dilatation of sinusoid, vaculation, haemorrhage and infiltration of inflammatory cells




Fig. 2. Liver section shows thickening of capsule, vacuolations and loss of liver cord appearance

3.4.2.2 Intestine

In the intestine there was desquamation of mucosal epithelium resulting in denaturated villi where the lumen filled with necrotic masses (Fig. 3). Severe Infiltration of inflammatory cells and atrophied of intestine glands (Fig. 4).




Fig. 3. Intestine section shows sloughing of villi, necrotic mass of intestinal lumen and infiltration of inflammatory cells




Fig. 4. Intestine section shows severe infiltration of inflammatory cells and atrophied of intestinal glands

3.4.2.3 Proventricular

There is sloughing of epithelial layers and necrosis (Fig. 5).




Fig. 5. Proventriculus section shows sloughing of epithelial layers and necrosis

3.4.2.4 Brain

The brain showed vaculation, necrosis, haemorrhage, congestion of blood vessels and infiltration of inflammatory cells (Figs. 6, 7).




Fig. 6. Brain section shows valuations and necrosis




Fig. 7. Brain section shows severe haemorrhage and necrosis

3.4.2.5 Spleen

The spleen showed haemorrhage, congestion, depletion of lymphocytes and round vacuolation scatter along the spleen section (may be fatty changes) (Fig. 8).




Fig. 8. Spleen section shows haemorrhage, congestion, depletion of lymphocytes and vacuoles

3.4.2.6 Heart

The heart section showed muscle congestion, fragmentation of myocardial muscle and fiber leucocytic infiltration (Fig. 9).




Fig. 9. Heart section shows severe haemorrhage, degeneration of myocardial muscle fibers and infiltration of inflammatory cells

4. DISCUSSION

In this study *Salmonella* spp were identified in 52 samples out of 2000 chicks, ten of them were serotyped as *S. enteritidis* [n=9] and *S. typhimurium* [n=1]. These two serotypes are the most frequently isolates in poultry and poultry products and humans [18-21]. Using of Vitek 2 Compact was significant as it was a full automating system that contain 64 biochemical tests to which is quite enough to confirm the organism. In this study mortality started in day 1, this may due to vertical transmission of infections which has been an important aspect of the epidemiology of *Salmonella* species within the poultry industry [22,23].

In 1980's *S. enteritidis* outbreaks dramatically increased globally and the pathogen emerged as serious threat for poultry industry and public health [24,25]. Since then the infections continued increasing over time, worldwide [26-28] and still continues to rise even though the overall incidence of *Salmonella* in general has decreased [29,28]. There are various phage types of SE [30]. The prevalent and dominant status of different phage types varies in different countries and may change in a country over time [31-33]. There is variation in the virulence among the various phage types and even within the various isolates of the same phage type [34,35]. The variation in virulence has also been reported

among the same phage types being isolated from different locations [36]. *S. enteritidis* infection in adult chickens produces few clinical signs [37], but in young broiler chickens it may cause increased mortality and the culling of large numbers of chickens [38]. [39] studied The pathogenicity of *S. enteritidis* in Malaysia after experimental infection in newly hatched chicks it was determined on the basis of clinical signs of disease, mortality rate, body weight gain, bacterial isolation and, observations of gross and histopathological changes. He reported that the infection with SE PT3A and PT 35 caused 10% and 5 mortality, respectively during first week of age only, this is less than The mortality rate in this study which was 25% and near to [40] who reported 21%.

The gross lesions and histopathological findings observed in this study were consistent with previous studies in chickens [41]. The gross lesions of hepatomegaly, splenomegaly and congested liver observed in this study were also similar to those in chickens reported by previous researchers [41,42]. The gross lesions are highly indicative of septicaemic infection. The histopathological findings (cellular infiltration of the liver and heart, congested liver) in this study were also similar to previous works in chickens [43,44].

5. CONCLUSIONS

1. Good hygiene must be applied in the hatcheries to avoid vertical transmission of salmonellosis.
2. using automated system in identification of *Salmonella* spp is very important to get reliable and accurate results.
3. Serotyping and phagotyping must be done to confirm the Serovar that causes the disease.
4. High mortality can be observed specially in young broiler chickens when infected with pathogenic species like *S. enteritidis* and *S. typhimurium*.
5. The isolation of organism from the liver, spleen, heart and intestine implying a septicemic condition.
6. The histopathological findings are similar in most species.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Thorns J. Bacterial food-borne zoonoses. Rev. Sci. Tech. 2000;19:226–39.
2. Baird-Parker C. Foodborne salmonellosis. Lancet. 1990;336:1231–1235.
3. Scuderi G, Fantasia M, Niglio T. The Italian SALM-NET working group. Results of the three years surveillance by the Italian SALM-NET system: Human isolates of salmonella serotypes. European J. Epidemiol. 2000;16:377–383.
4. Popoff MY, Bockemuhl J, Gheesling LL. Supplement 2001 (no. 45) to the Kauffmann-White scheme. Res Microbiol. 2003;154:173–174. [PubMed]
5. Kottwitz LBM, Oliveira TCRM, Alcocer I, Farah SMSS, Abrahão WSM, Rodrigues DP. Avaliação epidemiologica de surtos de salmonelose ocorridos no período de 1999 a 2008 no estado do Paraná, Brasil. Acta Scientia. 2010;32:9–15.
6. Hald T, Vose D, Wegener HC, Koupeev T. A Bayesian approach to quantify the contribution of animal-food sources to human salmonellosis. Risk Anal. 2004; 24:255–269. [PubMed]
7. Doyle MP, Erickson MC. Reducing the carriage of foodborne pathogens in livestock and poultry. Poultry Sci. 2006;85:960–973. [PubMed]
8. Henderson C, Bounous I Lee D. Early events in the patho-genesis of avian salmonellosis. Infect. Immune. 1999;67: 3580–3586.
9. Rasschaert G, Houf K, Godard C, Wildemauwe C, Pastuszczak-Frak M, De Zutter L. Contamination of carcasses with Salmonella during poultry slaughter. J Food Prot. 2008;71:146–152. [PubMed]
10. Araj GF, Chugh TD. Detection of Salmonella spp. in clinical specimens by capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. J Clin Microbiol. 1987;25:2150–2153. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
11. Aspinall ST, Hindle MA, Hutchinson DN. Improved isolation of salmonellae from feces using a semisolid Rappaport-Vassiliadis medium. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 1992;11:936–939. [PubMed]
12. Aabo S, Rasmussen OF, Rossen L, Sørensen PD, Olsen JE. Salmonella identification by the polymerase chain reaction. Mol Cell Probes. 1993;7:171–178. [PubMed]
13. Malorny B, Huehn S, Dieckmann R, Kramer N, Helmuth R. Polymerase chain

- reaction for the rapid detection and serovar identification of *Salmonella* in food and feeding stuff. *Food Anal Meth.* 2009;2:81–95.
14. Barrow GH, Feltham RKA. *Cowan and Steel's Manual for Identification of Medical Bacteria.* Third edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 2003;331.
 15. Biomerieux.
Available:<http://www.biomerieux.com>
 16. Popoff MY, Le Minor L. Antigenic formulas of the *Salmonella* serovars, 8th revision. WHO Collaborating Center for Reference and Research on *Salmonella*, Pasteur Institute, Paris, France; 2001.
Available:<www.prise-pcp.org/.../cd/.../antigenic-formulas-salmonella-serovars.pdf>
 17. Anderson ES, Williams REO. Bacteriophage typing of enteric pathogens and staphylococci and its use in epidemiology. *Journal of Clinical Pathology.* 1956;9:94-127. ISSN 0021-9746. 29-34
 18. Chang YH, Han J, Chun J, Lee KC, Rhee MS, Kim YB, Bae KS. *Comamonas koreensis* sp. nov., a non motile species from wetland in Woopo, Korea. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2002;52:377-318.
 19. EFSA (European Food Safety Agency). Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Biological Hazards on a request from the Commission related to the use of antimicrobials for the control of *Salmonella* in poultry. *The EFSA J.* 2004;115:1-76.
 20. Herikstad H, Motarjemi Y, Tauxe RV. *Salmonella* surveillance: A global survey of public health serotyping. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2002;129:1-8.
 21. Mohammad B, Mohagheghi H, Amir F, Roqiah G. An investigation on contamination of poultries by *Salmonella* species in Zahedan (South-East Iran) during 2004. *Res J Microbiol.* 2006;1:463-466.
 22. Keller LH, Schifferli DM, Benson CE, Aslam S, Eckroade RJ. Invasion of chicken reproductive tissues and forming eggs is not unique to *Salmonella enteritidis*. *Avian Dis.* 1997;41:535–539.
 23. Gast RK, Holt PS. Persistence of *Salmonella enteritidis* from one day of age until maturity in experimentally infected layer chickens. *Poultry Sci.* 1998;77:1759–1762.
 24. Rodrigue DC, Tauxe RV, Rowe B. International increase in *Salmonella enteritidis*: A new pandemic? *Epi. Infect.* 1990;105:21-27.
 25. Hogue A, White P, Guard-Petter J, Schlosser W, Gast R, Ebel E, Farrar J, Gomez T, Madden J, Madison M, McNamara AM, Morales R, Parham D, Sparling P, Sutherland W, Swerdlow D. Epidemiology and control of egg-associated *Salmonella enteritidis* in the United States of America. *Rev Sci Tech.* 1997;16:542–553.
 26. Herikstad H, Motarjemi Y, Tauxe RV. *Salmonella* surveillance: A global survey of public health serotyping. *Epi. Infect.* 2002; 129:1-8.
 27. Altekruse SF, Bauer N, Amy C, Robert D, Alecia N, Wayne S, Robert U, Patricia W. *Salmonella enteritidis* in broiler chickens, United States, 2000–2005. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2006;12:1-5.
 28. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Preliminary FoodNet data on the incidence of infection with pathogens transmitted commonly through food-10states, United States, 2005. *Morb Mort Wkly Rep.* 2006;55:392-395.
 29. Patrick ME, Adcock PM, Gomez TM, Altekruse SF, Holland BH, Tauxe RV, Swerdlow DL. *Salmonella enteritidis* infections, United States, 1985-1999. *Emerg. Infec. Dis.* 2004;10(1):1-7.
 30. Ward LR, de Sa DS, Rowe B. A phage-typing scheme for *Salmonella enteritidis*. *Epi. Infect.* 1987;99:291–294.
 31. van Duijkeren, Wannet WJB, Houwers DJ, van Pelt W. Serotype and phage type distribution of *Salmonella* strains isolated from humans, cattle, pigs, and chickens in The Netherlands from 1984 to 2001. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2002;40(11):3980–3985.
 32. Fisher IS. Dramatic shift in the epidemiology of *Salmonella enterica* serotype *enteritidis* phage types in Western Europe, 1998-2003—results from the Enter-net international *salmonella* database. *Euro Surveill.* 2004;9(11):43–45.
 33. Pang JC, Chiu TS, Helmuth R, Schroeter A, Guerra B, Tsen HY. A pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) study that suggests a major world-wide clone of *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Enteritidis*. *Int. J. Food Microbio.* 2007;116:305–312.
 34. Shivaprasad HL, Timoney JF, Morales S, Lucio B, Baker RC. Pathogenesis of *Salmonella enteritidis* infection in laying chickens. I. studies on egg transmission,

- clinical signs, fecal shedding and serologic responses. *Avi. Dis.* 1990;34:548-557.
35. Barrow PA. Experimental infection of chickens with *Salmonella enteritidis*. *Avi. Path.* 1991;20:145-153.
 36. Poppe C, Demczuk W, McFadden K, Johnson RP. Virulence of *Salmonella enteritidis* phage types 4, 8 and 13 and other *Salmonella* spp. Forday-old chicks, hens and mice. *Can. J. Vet. Res.* 1993;57: 281-287.
 37. Hopper SA, Mawer S. *Salmonella enteritidis* in poultry. *Veterinary Record.* 1988;123:351.
 38. O'BRIEN JDP. *Salmonella enteritidis* infection in broiler chickens. *Veterinary Record.* 1988;122:214.
 39. Nazir S, Kamil SA, Riyaz A, Mir MS, Darzi MM, Yasine A, Goudar KS. Pathology and colonization of internal organs after experimental infection of broiler chickens with *Salmonella Gallinarum* through oral or intraperitoneal routes. *Revue d'élevage et de médecine vétérinaire des pays tropicaux.* 2014;67(2):53-60.
 40. Gorham SL, Kadavil K, Haryean Lambert, Ebilina Vaughan, Barbara Pert, Abel J. Persistence of *Salmonella enteritidis* in young chickens. *Avian Pathology.* 1991;20: 433-437.
 41. Garcia KO, Santana AM, FreitasNeto OC, Berchieri Jr A, Fagliari JJ. Experimental infection of commercial layers using a *Salmonella enterica* serovar gallinarum strain: Blood serum component and histopathological changes. *Brazilian Journal of Veterinary Pathology.* 2010;3(2): 111-117.
 42. Beyaz L, Atasever A, Aydin F, Gumusoy KS, Abay S. Pathological and clinical findings and tissue distribution of *Salmonella gallinarum* infection in turkey poults. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences.* 2010;34:101-110.
 43. Hossain MS, Chowdhury EH, Islam MM, Haider MG, Hossain MM. Avian *Salmonella* infection: Isolation and identification of organisms and histopathological study. *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine.* 2006;4:7-12.
 44. Nwiyi P, Omadamiro O. Seroprevalence and isolation of chicken infected with *Salmonella*: Haematological and pathological evaluation. *Journal of Animal and Feed Research.* 2012;2(6):483-487.

© 2016 Muna et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/12964>