



Impacts of Varying Sowing Dates on the Profitability and Production of Cultivars of Pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Mill sp.)

Bhimashankar M. Satale ^{a*}, Mirza I. A. B. ^a
and Priyanka Motinge ^a

^a Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Vasanttrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i82780>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121017>

Original Research Article

Received: 11/06/2024

Accepted: 14/08/2024

Published: 19/08/2024

ABSTRACT

At the experimental farm of the College of Agriculture, Badnapur, VNMKV, Parbhani, a suitable range of field experiments were done during the kharif season, with an optimal time of sowing. The experiment was carried out using five varieties in the sub plot, V₁-BSMR-736, V₂-BSMR-853, V₃-BDN-711, V₄-BDN-708, and V₅-Vipula, and four sowing dates in the main plot, D₁: (15th June), D₂: (30th June), D₃: (15th July), and D₄: (30th July). The soil had a medium-black color, a clayey texture, a high base saturation level, an alkaline reaction, and a higher concentration of total soluble salts. It also had low levels of nitrogen and phosphorus and high levels of potassium and lime. The dibbling

*Corresponding author: E-mail: satalebm92@gmail.com;

method of sowing was used. According to the experiment's results, sowing of pigeonpea on June 15th was the best date to achieve greater yield metrics, specifically number of pods per plant, pod weight plant⁻¹ (g), grain weight plant⁻¹ (g), and test weight (g). In addition to economic indicators including GMR (119080 Rs ha⁻¹), NMR (96573 Rs ha⁻¹), and B:C (5.29), in addition to harvest index (HI), biological yield (kg ha⁻¹) and seed yield (kg ha⁻¹). Comparatively speaking to the other kinds, the pigeonpea variety BSMR-736 was shown to be very prolific.

Keywords: Genotype; pigeonpea; sowing dates; varieties; yield and economics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pulses play a significant role in a diet that is primarily vegetarian and are a valuable source of protein that helps vegetarians meet their protein needs in a balanced and nutritious way. The most significant kharif grain legume crop is pigeonpea, also known as red gram, arhar, and tur [*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.]. It is a member of the Leguminosae family, a subfamily of the papilionaceae family, and it originated in Africa. It can be grown in a broad pH range of 5 to 8, although it has the lowest harvest index (19%). It is a rich source of protein and amino acids, including lysine, tryptophan, cysteine, and arginine. The non-monetary input of sowing time has a significant impact on the crop's growth and output. It guarantees perfect balance between the climatic rhythm and the vegetative and reproductive periods. The crop's ability to accumulate dry matter is influenced by the timing of seeding. A crop sown too early may accumulate too much dry matter and reduce podding, whereas a crop sown too late may diminish the buildup of biomass and, as a result, yield [1,2]. Low pigeonpea grain yields are the consequence of sowing seeds after the optimal time [3]. Furthermore, different genotypes have varying productivity [4], and each genotype is crucial to achieving the crop's potential yield. Sequence cropping systems may experience a delay in the seeding of subsequent crops, like wheat, due to the longer maturation times of long duration genotypes, which yield better yields than early maturing genotypes [5-7]. All of these considerations led to the conduct of the current experiment in 2015, which sought to determine the ideal sowing timing as well as the suitable type of Pigeonpea for rainfed conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Finding ideal sowing dates for Pigeonpea varieties, evaluating how well they perform at various sowing dates, and examining the interactions between Pigeonpea varieties and sowing dates were the objectives of the current

investigation. The experiment's gross and net plot sizes were 7.2 X 5.0 m and 5.4 X 4.6 m, respectively. The varieties employed were BSMR-736, BSMR-853, BDN-711, BDN-708, and Vipula. The sowing was done using the dibbling method on June 15th, June 30th, July 15th, and July 30th, 2015 for D₁, D₂, D₃, and D₄, respectively, at a spacing of 90 cm x 20 cm. During sowing, the recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF)- 25:50:00 NPK kg ha⁻¹ was administered. The experiment's growth observations were recorded at 30-day intervals from the start of the experiment until harvest, in order to assess the influence of the treatment. Meanwhile, observations on the features that contribute to yield and post-harvest investigations were documented at the appropriate stages. During the D₁, D₂, D₃, and D₄ sowing dates, the crop was harvested on December 25th, 2015, January 9th, 2016, January 25th, 2016 and February 9th, 2016, correspondingly, at the maturity stage.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The different treatments had a considerable impact on the mean pod yield (g) per plant. The pod yield per plant recorded on June 15th was much higher at (74.20 g). This was followed by June 30th at (60.51 g), July 15th at (52.70 g) and July 30th at (43.05 g). Mishra [8] both came to similar conclusions. In comparison to BSMR-853, BDN-711, Vipula, and BDN-708, variety BSMR-736 performed noticeably better in terms of yield attributing characteristics, such as number of pods per plant, pod weight per plant, seed yield per plant, and test weight (Table 1). The varieties genetic composition may be the likely cause of this, as it has improved photosynthetic activity through enhanced source capacity and effective photosynthate translocation to the sink (seed). Improvements in Pigeonpea varieties with distinct genetic compositions were noted by Singh et al. [9].

It was found that the mean seed yield (g plant⁻¹) varied significantly between the sowing dates.

The highest mean seed yield ($41.67 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) was obtained from the June 15th sowing. This was followed by sowings on June 30th ($38.83 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$), July 15th ($34.73 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$), and July 30th (29.77 g). The similar result was reported by Dialoke et al. [10] and Reddy et al. [11]. Pod formation started 120 DAS, and pod development proceeded until it reached maturity. Genotype BSMR-736 showed a higher potential for yield compared to BSMR-853, BDN-711, Vipula, and BDN-708; it produced significantly more pods and seed per plant (Table 2). Results from Tuppad et al. [12] and Bharathkumar et al. [13] were comparable.

Various sowing dates were found to have no significant impact on the test weight (100 seeds). On June 15th, however, the sowing date of 11.51 g produced the greatest test weight, which was then followed by June 30th, June 15th, July 15th, and July 30th, 10.11 g . Reddy and colleagues [14] and Singh et al. [15] reported comparable outcomes. The Pigeonpea varieties exhibited a commendable performance concerning seed yield, as indicated by Table 2, which exhibited a comparable tendency to yield features. Vipula, BDN-711, BSMR-853, and BDN-708 were all considerably inferior to the greater seed production of 930 kg ha^{-1} that the Pigeonpea variety BSMR-736 reported. The improved various yield attributing features may indicate a higher production efficiency, which could account for the observed rise in seed yield of the BSMR-736 genotype. Parmeshwarappa [16], Tuppad et al. [12] and Saxena et al. [17] all reported findings that were similar.

(Table 2) presents information on mean seed yield kg ha^{-1} as influenced by various sowing dates. The information revealed that, compared to the other sowing dates, the sowing on June 15th had a noticeably greater mean seed yield (1456 kg ha^{-1}). The seeding on June 30th, 1324 kg ha^{-1} , came in second place, ahead of the sowing on July 15th, 1165 kg ha^{-1} , and July 30th, 987 kg ha^{-1} . Reddy et al. [11], Dialoke et al. [10] and Patel and Mehta [18] all reported findings that were similar. Different sowing dates were shown to have a substantial impact on the amount of straw yield kg ha^{-1} . In comparison to the other treatments, the seeding on June 15th produced the highest mean straw yield kg ha^{-1} (5161 kg ha^{-1}). The sowing on June 30th, at (4986 kg ha^{-1}), came in second, followed by July 15th, at (4672 kg ha^{-1}), and July 30th, at (4211 kg ha^{-1}). Tuppad et al. [12] also found comparable outcomes. It was discovered that there was

significant data on biological yield kg ha^{-1} as influenced by various sowing dates. In comparison to the other treatments, the seeding on June 15th produced a mean biological yield that was substantially greater at (6617 kg ha^{-1}). The sowing dates of June 30th, (6310 kg ha^{-1}), July 15th, (5837 kg ha^{-1}), and July 30th, (5198 kg ha^{-1}), came in second and third, respectively. Prasad et al. [19] reported the same outcomes. When compared to BDN-711, Vipula, and BDN-708, the Pigeonpea varieties BSMR-736 performed much better and achieved a biological yield of (2912 kg ha^{-1}) that was comparable to variety BSMR-853. increased biomass potential and more dry matter buildup may be the cause of BSMR-736's increased biological yield when compared to BSMR-853, BDN-711, Vipula, and BDN-708. The results of Nadaf A. A. [20], Bharatkumar et al. [13], and Sonwane et al. [21] are consistent with these findings. Data on harvest index showed that there was no any significant effect of sowing dates on harvest index. The highest harvest index was observed (22.04) by the sowing at (15th June). Same result was reported by R.S. Singh [15]. The performance of Pigeonpea varieties differed significantly in harvest index (Table 2). The varieties BSMR-736 recorded higher harvest index as compared to BSMR-853, BDN-711, Vipula and BDN-708 which might be due to its higher production efficiency similar trend was observed by Sonwane et al. [21].

The data on gross monetary returns it was revealed that the sowing of (15th June) gave highest gross monetary returns ($119080 \text{ Rs ha}^{-1}$) followed by sowing date 30th June ($108430 \text{ Rs ha}^{-1}$), 15th July (95541 Rs ha^{-1}) and 30th July (82451 Rs ha^{-1}). The data on gross monetary returns it was revealed that the variety BSMR-736 gave highest gross monetary returns ($117280 \text{ Rs ha}^{-1}$) which was significantly superior over BSMR-853 ($106830 \text{ Rs ha}^{-1}$), BDN-711 ($100690 \text{ Rs ha}^{-1}$), Vipula (94620 Rs ha^{-1}) and BDN-708 (87465 Rs ha^{-1}).

The data on net monetary returns ha^{-1} revealed that the sowing of (15th June) gave higher net monetary returns (96573 Rs ha^{-1}) followed by 30th June sowing date (85922 Rs ha^{-1}), 15th July (73033 Rs ha^{-1}) and 30th July sowing date (58610 Rs ha^{-1}). The data on net monetary returns/ha revealed that the variety BSMR-736 gave higher net monetary returns (94767 Rs ha^{-1}) which was significantly superior over BSMR-853 (84323 Rs ha^{-1}), BDN-711 ($76512 \text{ Rs$

ha⁻¹), Vipula (72112 Rs ha⁻¹) and BDN-708 (64957 Rs ha⁻¹).

Data on benefit: cost ratio it was seen that the sowing of (15th June) gave higher Benefit: Cost ratio (5.29) followed by the sowing date 30th

June (4.81), 15th July (4.24) and 30th July (3.60). Data on Benefit: Cost ratio it was seen that variety BSMR-736 gave higher Benefit: Cost ratio (5.21) followed by BSMR-853 (4.74), BDN-711 (4.40), Vipula (4.20) and BDN-708 (3.88).

Table 1. Mean No. Pods plant⁻¹, Pod yield plant⁻¹ (g), Seed yield plant⁻¹ (g) and Seed index of Pigeonpea as influenced by various treatment at harvest

Treatments	No. of pods plant ⁻¹	Pod weight plant ⁻¹ (g)	Grain weight plant ⁻¹ (g)	Test weight (g)
Sowing dates (D)				
D ₁ :15 th June	172.54	74.20	41.67	11.51
D ₂ : 30 th June	141.13	60.51	38.83	11.15
D ₃ : 15 th July	122.57	52.70	34.73	10.66
D ₄ : 30 th July	99.93	43.05	29.77	10.11
SE ±	2.38	0.80	0.53	0.33
CD at 5 %	6.61	2.23	1.46	0.93
Varieties (V)				
V ₁ : BSMR-736	156.09	67.11	40.66	11.64
V ₂ : BSMR-853	142.18	61.13	38.24	11.14
V ₃ : BDN-711	136.14	58.32	35.86	10.60
V ₄ : BDN-708	111.16	47.79	32.11	10.37
V ₅ : VIPULA	124.66	53.72	34.39	10.54
SE ±	3.88	1.29	1.23	0.41
CD at 5 %	10.75	3.57	3.42	1.16
Interaction (D x V)				
SE ±	7.76	2.58	2.47	0.83
CD at 5 %	NS	NS	NS	NS
General Mean	134.05	57.62	36.25	10.86

Table 2. Mean seed yield, straw yield, biological yield (kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index as influenced by various treatments

Treatments	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Biological yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)
Sowing dates (D)				
D ₁ :15 th June	1456	5111	6567	22.17
D ₂ : 30 th June	1324	5036	6360	20.81
D ₃ :15 th July	1165	4672	5837	19.98
D ₄ : 30 th July	987	4211	5198	19.01
SE ±	22.77	50.63	56.45	-
CD at 5 %	63.02	140.12	156.24	-
Varieties (V)				
V ₁ : BSMR-736	1433	5189	6623	21.62
V ₂ : BSMR-853	1305	4805	6110	21.31
V ₃ : BDN-711	1207	4750	5958	20.21
V ₄ : BDN-708	1065	4453	5518	19.30
V ₅ : VIPULA	1154	4590	5744	20.08
SE ±	47.32	130.91	136.87	-
CD at 5 %	130.96	362.29	378.80	-
Interaction (D x V)				
SE ±	94.64	261.81	273.75	-
CD at 5 %	NS	NS	NS	-
General Mean	1233	4757	5991	20.51

Table 3. Gross monetary returns (Rs ha⁻¹), net monetary returns (Rs ha⁻¹) and Benefit: Cost (B:C) ratio as influenced by different treatments

Treatments	Gross monetary returns (Rs ha ⁻¹)	Net monetary return (Rs ha ⁻¹)	B:C ratio
Sowing dates (D)			
D ₁ :15 th June	119080	96573	5.29
D ₂ : 30 th June	108430	85922	4.81
D ₃ :15 th July	95541	73033	4.24
D ₄ : 30 th July	82451	58610	3.60
SE ±	1124.3	1107.1	-
CD at 5 %	3111.5	3063.8	-
Varieties (V)			
V ₁ : BSMR-736	117280	94767	5.21
V ₂ : BSMR-853	106830	84323	4.74
V ₃ : BDN-711	100690	76512	4.40
V ₄ : BDN-708	87465	64957	3.88
V ₅ : VIPULA	94620	72112	4.20
SE ±	2329	2151.5	-
CD at 5 %	6445.7	5954.2	-
Interaction (D x V)			
SE ±	4658.1	4302.9	-
CD at 5 %	NS	NS	-
General Mean	101380	78534	4.48

4. CONCLUSION

The early sowing on June 15th was shown to be the most effective date for achieving increased seed production and GMR, NMR, and B:C ratio among other Pigeonpea sowing dates, based on a season of field experiments. In comparison to BSMR-853, BDN-711, VIPULA, and BDN-708, it was discovered that the Pigeonpea variety BSMR-736 was very prolific. To make a meaningful conclusion, though, more validation is needed as the results are based on a single year of study.

DISCLAIMER

This paper is an extended version of a Dissertation document of the same author.

The dissertation document is available in this link:

<https://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/server/api/core/bitstreams/95b7b641-81cf-471b-ae13-c9a0c011ce11/content>

[As per journal policy, preprint /repository article can be published as a journal article, provided it is not published in any other journal]

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Chih li yu, Dafeng Hui, Trevor Johnston, Kadajah porter, Camerra Miller, Desh Duseja and Fisseha Tegegne. Field performance and yield of four pigeonpea varieties in middle Tennessee. *Agronomy Journal*. 2014;106(6):2202-2208.
2. Hari Ram, Guriqbal Singh, Sekhon HS, Veena Khanna. Effect of sowing time on the performance of pigeonpea genotypes. *Journal Food Legumes*. 2011;24(3):207-210.
3. Kumar Anil, Faruqui OR, Sharma GB, Sinha KK, Choudhary S. Effect of planting dates on productivity of pre –Rabi pigeonpea. *Indian J. Pulses Res*. 2005; 18(1):84-85.
4. Egbe Moses Onyilo, Ambi Ayubaaku, Seyi Odebiyi. Effect of planting dates on the performance of pigeonpea varieties in southern Guinea Savanna ecology of Nigeria. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*. 2013;3(8):22-28.
5. Malik RS, Ashok Yadav. Effect of sowing time and weed management on performance of pigeonpea. *Indian J. of weed Science*. 2014;46(2):132-134.
6. Singh RS. Performance of late duration pigeonpea varieties under delayed planting situation. *Indian Journal of pulses Res*. 2010;19(2):255-256.
7. Zote Ashalata, Waghmare PK, Shelke VB. Response of pigeonpea varieties to different planting patterns. *Int. J. of Agril. Sci*. 2011;7(1):247-248.
8. Mishra Akhilesh, Geeta Rai, Singh VS, Swarnkar GB. Performance of promising genotypes of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L) Millsp.) to different dates of sowing under ridge planting condition. *Indian J. Agric Res*. 2008;23(3-4):141-144.
9. Singh RM, Singh MN, Manoj Kumar. Genetic variability and diversity in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.) under rainfed conditions. *Journal of Food Legumes*. 2014;27(1):13-16.
10. Dialoke SA, Ojiako FO, Boash BO, Peteronoh CA. Influence of plant densities and planting dates on the population of pigeonpea flower blister beetles in Oweri, Imo state, Nigeria. *International Quarterly J. of Life Sci*. 2014;9(1):41-44.
11. Reddy M Malla, B Padmja, Malathi S. Evaluation of pigeonpea genotypes for delayed sowing in Telangana region of Andhra Pradesh under rainfed conditions. *Indian J. Dryland Agric. Res.& Dev*. 2012;27(2):59-62.
12. Tuppad Giramallappa B, Koppalkar BG, Halepyati AS, Desai BK. Yield and economics of pigeonpea genotypes as influenced by planting geometry under rain fed condition. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci*. 2012;25(2):179-182.
13. Bharatkumar HP, Koppalkar B G, Basavannepa MA, Ananda N,

- Narayanarao K. Effect of planting geometry and nutrients levels on yield, yield attributes and economics of pigeonpea genotypes. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 2015;28(2):160-163.
14. Reddy G Krishna, Reddy PM, Lavanya Kumari P, Giridhara Krishna T. Response of pigeonpea varieties to time of sowing during Rabi season. J. of Agril, Veterinary Science. 2015;8:12-15.
 15. Singh RS. Performance of late duration pigeonpea varieties under delayed planting situation. Indian Journal of pulses Res. 2006;19(2):255-256.
 16. Parmeshwarappa SG. Performance of pigeonpea cultivars in medium black soils of Northern transitional zone of Karnataka under rained conditions. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 2002;15(3):502-503.
 17. Saxena KB, Singh IP, kumar RV, Hingane AJ, Mulla SB, Patil SB, Sameer kumar CV. Challenges opportunities of breeding early maturing pigeonpea hybrids. Journal of Food Legumes. 2014;27(1):1-8.
 18. Patel NR, Mehta AN. Phenological development and of two diverse pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L) Millsp.] genotypes in relation to weather. Journal Agric. Physics. 2001;1(1):52-57.
 19. Prasad Balai Laxman, Singh RB, Yadav SM. Sowing time and plant population on the disease intensity of Alternaria Blight of pigeonpea. Indian Journal Plant Protection. 2014;41(4):326-331.
 20. Nadaf AA. Performance of pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L) Mill sp.] varieties under broad bed and furrow cultivation in vertisols of model watershed of Dharwad; 2013.
 21. Sonwane RK, Chavan LS, Kamble AS. Performance of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.) varieties under nutrient management grown in Kharif season. Int. J. Advanced tech. Engg. Sci. 2015;3:201-208.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121017>