International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 5, Page 12-17, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.97287
ISSN: 2320-7035

Effect of Different Dose of Herbicide on Soil Physico-chemical and Biological Properties after Harvest of Wheat

Monika Meena [a*], Rajesh Kumar Singh [a], Suman Dhayal [b] and Pooja Kumari Meena [b]

[a] Department of Agronomy, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India.
[b] Department of Agronomy, RCA, MPUAT, Udaipur, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i52815

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97287

Original Research Article

Received: 02/01/2023
Accepted: 06/03/2023
Published: 10/03/2023

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the present experiment is to evaluate the efficacy of herbicide to control of weeds in wheat crop. The field experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Farm, Division of Agronomy, BHU, Varanasi, India. In the experimental field weeds were controlled by pre-emergence application of herbicides *viz.,*pendimethalin 30% EC @ 600 g ha^{-1},pendimethalin 30% EC @ 900 g ha^{-1}, pendimethalin 30% EC @ 1200 g ha^{-1}, pendimethalin 30% EC @ 1500 g ha^{-1},metribuzin 70% WP @ 210 g ha^{-1} weed free (two hands weeding) and Untreated Control (Weedy check). Effect of treatments on soil physico-chemical properties like soil bulk density, soil pH, electric conductivity and organic carbon are not significance variation among the treatments. The available nitrogen in soil after harvest of wheat was more under hand weeded treated plots which was statistically comparable with application of pendimethalin 30% EC @ 900 g ha^{-1} over the rest of the treatments. However, available phosphorus and potassium in non-significant it did not influenced by the treatments.

**Corresponding author: E-mail: monameena744@gmail.com;*

Keywords: Chemical; metribuzin; pendimethalin; weed; wheat.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Wheat is important staple crop and dominant crop in temperate countries. Wheat is heavily infested with narrow leaf weed and broad leaf weed. Wheat is mainly infested with *Solanum nigrum*, *Anagallis arvensis, Chenopodium album, Vicia sativa, Melilotus indicus, Rumex dentatus, Medicago denticulatum, Cynodon dactylon, Phalaris minor* and *Cyperus rotundus* Atnafu et al. [1]. The yield losses caused by weeds alone account 10 to 80% reduces depending upon weed species, severity and duration of weed infestation in which *Phalaris minor* and *Avenaludoviciana* are major problematic grass weeds causing large scale reductions in wheat grain yield" Banerjee et al. [2]. "In North-West India, continuous use of isoproturon particularly in rice-wheat cropping system evolved multiple resistance in *Phalaris minor* due to shifting of weed flora which is a major reason for yield loss in wheat crop" Kaur et al. [3]. "Several herbicides used in crop in which herbicide is effective only one weed specie is generally ineffective against other weed species. Continuous use of similar mode of action of herbicide overcomes the weed infestation caused threating to sustainability of crop. Repeated use of same herbicide cause herbicide resistance which is very critical problem now days [4-7]. More chemicals applied on the crop directly and indirectly reduce the soil fertility and various nutrients will imbalance in this way the biological life present in the soil is also affected. Herbicide is also one type of chemical that affect the plant and soil health" Sangwan et al. [8]. These chemicals have residual effect on the succeeding crop. This toxic chemicals present almost many year ago in the soil that cause the danger effect that growing in the same field crop Rana et al. [9].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field trial was carried out at Agricultural Research Farm of Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh during *Rabi* season of 2018-2019. The farm is situated at sub- tropical zone of Indo-Gangetic plains on 25^0 18' North latitude and 83^0 03' longitude and at an altitude of 75.70 meter above mean sea level. The composite soil sample was collected at 0-15 cm depth randomly from experimental area before conducting experiment procedure and after harvest, again taken soil sample for analysed its physico- chemical properties in lab for each experiment plot. It is conducted for estimation of available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in the soil. "The soil was sandy clay loam type (Inceptisol), pH is 7.4, low EC (dS m^{-1}) is 0.32, low in organic carbon 0.34%, and in available nitrogen 185 kg N/ha, medium in available phosphorus 22.3 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1} and potassium178 kg K_2O ha^{-1}.The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design with three replications having 5.5 x 4.5 m plot sizes. Seven treatment were evaluated in randomized block design with three replications. The treatments comprised of their doses of Pendimethalin 30% EC 600 g ha^{-1}, 900 g ha^{-1}, 1200 g ha^{-1}, 1500 g ha^{-1} and Metribuzin 70% WP as well as two hand weeding at 20 and 40 days after sowing (DAS) and untreated plot. The wheat variety "*HD 2967*" was sown on 6 December 2018 by using seed rate 100 kg ha^{-1} with the help of *kudal*by maintaining 22.5 cm row spacing. The pre-emergence herbicides were sprayed on the next day of sowing using 500 litre water/ha using knapsack sprayer fitted with flan-fan nozzle" [10].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect on Soil Physico Chemical Properties

The observation recorded after the lab analysis of soil like pH 7.64 was maximum at application ofpendimethalin 30% EC @ 1200 g ha^{-1} and minimum at application of metribuzin 70% WP @ 210 g ha^{-1} was 7.04. Bulk density of soil was highest with at control plot was 1.45 Mg/m^3 and lowest BD was 1.40 Mg/m^3observed with pendimethalin 30% EC @ 1200 g ha^{-1}. After harvest of crop electrical conductivity was maxium at untreated plot and pendimethalin 30% EC @ 1500 g ha^{-1} was 0.34 dS/m and minimum EC was 0.30 dS/m obtained at application of pendimethalin 30% EC @ 1200 g ha^{-1} and hand weeded plot. Organic carbon was highest observed with pendimethalin 30% EC @ 1200 g ha^{-1} was 0.37% and lowest 0.33% observed at hand weeded plot. The highest available nitrogen in soil after harvest of wheat hand weeded plot was 116.34 kg ha^{-1} and lowest nitrogen available with untreated plot was 116.34 kg ha^{-1}. The available phosphorus in soil was highest observed with hand weeded treated plot was 23.56 kg $ha^{-1}$and lowest phosphorus observed in application of pendimethalin 30% EC @ 1500 g ha^{-1} . The highest available potassium in soil was

Table 1. Effect of treatment on soil physico-chemical properties at harvest of wheat crop

Treatment	g a.i	Formulation dose/ ha	pH	BD (Mg/m^3)	EC (dS/m)	Organic carbon (%)	Available		
							N (kg ha^{-1})	P (kg ha^{-1})	K (kg ha^{-1})
Pendimethalin 30% EC	600	2000 ml	7.30	1.42	0.31	0.35	110.46	18.17	185.48
Pendimethalin 30% EC	900	3000 ml	7.34	1.44	0.32	0.36	114.97	19.89	183.27
Pendimethalin 30% EC	1200	4000 ml	7.64	1.40	0.30	0.37	113.42	20.57	171.73
Pendimethalin 30% EC	1500	5000 ml	7.23	1.42	0.34	0.35	112.86	15.73	177.54
Metribuzin 70% WP	210	300 g	7.04	1.42	0.33	0.36	109.41	16.30	180.71
HW twice (20 &40 DAS)	-	-	7.55	1.43	0.30	0.33	116.34	23.56	179.77
Untreated Control (Weedy check)	-	-	7.05	1.45	0.34	0.34	103.48	18.47	173.43
SEm±			0.32	0.02	0.02	0.02	2.66	2.22	4.11
CD (P=0.05)			NS	NS	NS	NS	5.80	NS	NS

NS = Non significant

Table 2. Effect of treatment on soil biological properties at harvest of wheat crop

Treatment	g a.i	Formulation dose/ ha	Mean population		
			Bacterial (1 × 10^3 cfu/g)	Fungi (1 × 10^3 cfu/g)	Actinomycetes (1 × 10^3 cfu/g)
Pendimethalin 30% EC	600	2000 ml	66.80	31.07	39.28
Pendimethalin 30% EC	900	3000 ml	68.93	30.67	39.28
Pendimethalin 30% EC	1200	4000 ml	71.00	29.03	38.33
Pendimethalin 30% EC	1500	5000 ml	67.53	28.20	38.47
Metribuzin 70% WP	210	300 g	72.30	29.97	38.43
HW twice (20 &40 DAS)	-	-	69.70	32.60	39.60
Untreated Control (Weedy check)	-	-	70.80	31.40	38.87
SEm±			1.71	1.26	1.86
CD (P=0.05)			NS	NS	NS

NS = Non significant



Fig. 1. Effect of treatment on soil physico-chemical properties at harvest of wheat crop

application of pendimethalin 30% EC @ 600 g ha^{-1} was 185.48 kg $ha^{-1}$and lowest was observed with application of pendimethalin 30% EC @ 1200 g ha^{-1} was 171.73 kg ha^{-1} Kumar et al. [11] and Patel et al. [12]. Effect of treatments on soil physico-chemical properties like soil bulk density, soil pH, electric conductivity and organic carbon are not significance variation among the treatments [13-17]. The available nitrogen in soil after harvest of wheat was more under hand weeded treated plots which was statistically comparable with application of pendimethalin 30% EC @ 900 g ha^{-1} over the rest of the treatments (Table1).

3.2 Effect on Soil Biological Properties

Visual observation recorded after the microbial population analysis. There was no adverse impact of the treatment application on the soil biological properties. Also the population of bacteria (*Pseudomonas fluorescens, Bacillus* spp.), fungi (*Trichoderma* spp.) and actinomycetes were comparable in various treatments. Kumar et al. [18-21]. Hence, there was no adverse impact of treatment application in wheat crop on soil biological properties (Table 2).

4. CONCLUSION

With the field analysis, it can be concluded that soil bulk density, soil pH, electric conductivity and organic carbon are not significance variation among the treatments. After harvesting of wheat the available nitrogen in soil after harvest of wheat was more under hand weeded treated plots which was statistically comparable with application of pendimethalin 30% EC @ 900 g ha^{-1} over the rest of the treatments. However, available phosphorus and potassium is non-significant and it did not influenced by the treatments.There was no adverse impact of the treatment application on the soil biological properties. Also the population of bacteria (*Pseudomonas fluorescens, Bacillus* spp.), fungi (*Trichoderma* spp.) and actinomycetes were comparable in various treatments. Hence, there was no adverse impact of treatment application in wheat crop on soil biological properties.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Atnafu D. Weed management methods on yield and yield components of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). Int J Res Innov Earth Sci. 2019;6(5):2394-1375.
2. Banerjee H, Garai S, Sarkar S, Ghosh D, Samanta S, Mahato M. Efficacy of herbicides against canary grass and wild oat in wheat and their residual effects on succeeding green gram in coastal Bengal. Indian J Weed Sci. 2019;51(3):246-51.
3. Kaur E, Sharma R, Singh ND. Evaluation of herbicides and their combinations for weed control in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Int J Environ Agric Biotechnol. 2018;3(4):1213-5.
4. Barla S, Upasani RR, Puran AN. Herbicide combinations for control of complex weed flora in wheat. Indian J Weed Sci. 2017; 49(1):36-40.
5. Bharat R, Kachroo D. Bio efficacy of herbicides on weeds in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and its residual effect on succeeding cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*). Indian J Agron. 2010;55:46-50.
6. Choudhary D, Rana SC, Singh PK, Chopra NK. Effect of herbicides and herbicide mixtures on weeds in wheat. Indian J Agric Res. 2016;50(2):107-12.
7. Dhawan RS, Punia SS, Singh S, Yadav D, Malik RK. Productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as affected by continuous use of new low dose herbicides for management of little seed canary grass (*Phalaris minor*) in India. Indian J Agron. 2009;54:58-62.
8. Sangwan M, Hooda VS, Singh J, Duhan A. Herbicidal weed management in dual purpose tall wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Indian J Agric Sci. 2019;89(9):1509-12.
9. Rana MC, Sharma R, Rana SS. Evaluation of combinations of herbicides to manage mixed weed flora in wheat. Int J Adv Agric Sci Technol. 2016;3(6):40-8.
10. Meena M, Kumar O, Rajput RK, Kumar A. Phytotoxicity effect of different doses of pendimethalin on wheat and succeeding green gram. The Pharm Innov J. 2022;11(5):2252-4.
11. Kumar B, Sarkar S. Herbicide combinations for control of complex weed flora in wheat. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2020;9(5):107-9.
12. Patel VK, Pathak RK, Kumar A, Singh A. Samiksha and Patel, A. Effect of tillage and weed management practices on soil

physico-chemical characteristics and wheat economics. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2020;9(04):2096-102.
13. Meena OP, Nepalia V, Singh D, Verma A, Choudhary R. Herbicide combinations for broad spectrum weed control in wheat. Indian J Weed Sci. 2016;48(3): 325-7.
14. Pandey J. Effect of dose and mode of metribuzin application on *Phalaris minor* and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Indian J Agric Sci. 2002;72(1):11-3.
15. Pisal RR, Sagarka BK. Integrated weed management in wheat with new molecules. Indian J Weed Sci. 2013;45(1):25-8.
16. Sangwan M, Hooda VS, Singh J, Duhan A. Herbicide mixtures for weed control in dual purpose tall wheat and pendimethalin residue in wheat fodder and soil. Indian J Weed Sci. 2018;50(4):345-50.
17. Yadav MK, Choudhary J, Yadav K. Yield performance and nutrient content, uptake as influenced by herbicides and row spacing in wheat crop (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Int J Agric Sci. 2018;14(2): 278-82.
18. Kumar P, Pathak RK, Gaur AS, Shukla DP, Yadav G. Effect of weed management practices on physicochemical and biological properties of soils in wheat crop. Int J Chem Stud. 2020;8(1):270-4.
19. Ghosh S, Wali SY, Datta D. Weed management in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under peninsular India. Annals of Agricultural Research New Series, Vol. 38(4): 399-404, 2017.
20. Hundal RK, Dhillon BS. Control of *Phalaris minor* with sequential application of pre- and post-emergence herbicides and herbicide combinations in wheat. Indian J Weed Sci. 2018;50(4):351-4.
21. Kaur MP, Punia SS, Singh J, Singh S. Pre- and post-emergence herbicide sequences for management of multiple herbicide-resistant little seed canary grass in wheat. Indian J Weed Sci. 2019;51(2):133-8.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97287