

Journal of Energy Research and Reviews

Volume 14, Issue 2, Page 24-32, 2023; Article no.JENRR.100872 ISSN: 2581-8368

Hybrid Game-based Distribution Network and Multi-virtual Power Plant Co-optimization

Yujie Huang^{a*}

^a School of Electric Power Engineering, Nanjing Institute of Technology, Nanjing-211167, China.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, inteprted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JENRR/2023/v14i2280

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/100872</u>

Original Research Article

Received: 04/04/2023 Accepted: 07/06/2023 Published: 12/06/2023

ABSTRACT

This paper proposes a hybrid game-based distribution network-multi-virtual power plant cooperative operation strategy for the different decision-making positions of distribution networks and virtual power plants. Firstly, the distribution system operator (DSO) is introduced as the leader, and the DSO aiming at maximizing its own benefits, formulates a dynamic tariff strategy to guide the virtual power plant cooperative alliance to optimize its operation; the virtual power plant cooperative alliance, as the follower, responds to the DSO's tariff strategy and formulates an autonomous optimization strategy to minimize its own operating costs. Secondly, the Nash bargaining model is used to allocate the benefits of cooperation among the multi-virtual power plant cooperative alliance according to their internal power interactions. The dichotomous method combined with ADMM is used to solve the developed model. The example shows that the hybrid distribution network-multi-virtual power plant game model can effectively enhance the benefits of the distribution network while taking into account the interests of the lower-level virtual power plants.

J. Energy Res. Rev., vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 24-32, 2023

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: huangyj9595@163.com;

Keywords: Virtual power plant; distribution network; cooperative game; stackelberg game.

1. INTRODUCTION

With the concept of the energy internet, virtual power plants have a broader application prospect and are receiving attention from scholars due to their ability to integrate multiple regions and types of energy using advanced measurement, communication, and control technologies [1-4]. The potential for multi-virtual power plant in the same distribution network area to form a cooperative alliance can improve the rate of through renewable energy consumption electricity interaction [5-7]. In the gradually opening electricity market, many social capitals have emerged in the electricity market. In the future, each virtual power plant and distribution network operator in the alliance will belong to different interests. So how to mobilize the electric energy interaction among virtual power plants and realize the fair distribution of benefits as well as how to carry out electric energy flow with the distribution network side to achieve win-win cooperation among multiple entities is a difficult problem that needs to be solved.

Therefore, this paper proposes a hybrid gamebased distribution network and multi-virtual power plant cooperative operation strategy to address the above-mentioned situation. Firstly, a master-slave game model is established between the DSO and multi-virtual power plant. The DSO will set up a dynamic tariff strategy for each virtual power plant with the objective of maximizing its own benefits. Secondly, the cooperative alliance of virtual power plants will optimize the dispatch according to the tariff signal given by the DSO with the objective of minimizing their own operating costs, and provide feedback the power purchased and sold to the DSO. Then, the Nash bargaining solution will allocate the benefits of cooperation among virtual power plants to further reduce their operating costs finally, the proposed solution's effectiveness is verified through an example analvsis.

2. DSO - MULTI-VIRTUAL POWER PLANT COOPERATIVE ALLIANCE OPTIMIZA-TION MODEL

2.1 DSO Revenue Model

The DSO acts as the game leader and sets the price at which the virtual power plant buys and sells electricity in accordance with its purchase and sale plan.

2.1.1 Objective function

DSO aims to maximize their own benefits, including the costs and benefits of purchasing and selling electricity through grid and virtual power plant alliance.

$$C^{\text{dso}} = \sum_{t=1}^{24} \left[(I_t^{\text{sell}} - I_t^{\text{dso,sell}}) P_t^{\text{sell}} - (I_t^{\text{buy}} - I_t^{\text{dso,buy}}) P_t^{\text{buy}} \right] \quad (1)$$

Where the DSO revenue is C^{dso} , $I_t^{\text{dso,buy}}$ and $I_t^{\text{dso,buy}}$ are the purchase and sale prices of electricity from the DSO for the virtual power plant alliance, I_t^{sell} and I_t^{buy} are the purchase and sale price of electricity from the grid for DSO. P_t^{buy} and P_t^{sell} are the amounts of electricity purchased and sold from the DSO by the virtual power plant alliance.

2.1.2 Constraints

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{t=1}^{24} I_t^{\text{dso,sell}} \le 24 \cdot \overline{I_t^{\text{dso,sell}}} \\ \sum_{t=1}^{24} I_t^{\text{dso,buy}} \le 24 \cdot \overline{I_t^{\text{dso,buy}}} \end{cases}$$
(2)

$$P_t^{ij} = \left(\theta_t^i - \theta_t^j\right) / x^{ij} \tag{3}$$

$$P_{\min}^{ij} \le P_t^{ij} \le P_{\max}^{ij}$$

$$\theta_{\min}^i \le \theta_t^i \le \theta_{\max}^i$$

$$\theta_{r^{ref}}^{ref} = 0$$
(4)

Constraint (2) is a price constraint on electrical energy, while (3)-(4) are constraints on DC. Where P_t^{ij} represents the line ij trend, x^{ij} represents the reactance of the line ij, θ_t^{i} represents the voltage angle of node i, θ^{ref} represents the voltage angle of the reference node.

2.2 Multi-virtual Power Plant Cooperative Alliance Operating Cost model

Each virtual power plant in multi-virtual power plant cooperative alliance is a rational and independent entity that plans its operation according to its own supply and demand, and each individual forms the alliance as a whole through power trading. Therefore, the operating costs of the virtual power plants in a multi-virtual power plant cooperative alliance are modelled, which include the costs of power trading with the DSO, the compensation costs of demand response, the maintenance costs of energy storage equipment and the costs of power interaction between virtual power plants.

2.2.1 Objective function

$$\min C^{vpp} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i}^{trade} + C_{i}^{gas} + C_{i}^{es} + C_{i}^{dr} + C_{i}^{p2p}$$

$$\begin{cases}
C_{i}^{trade} = \sum_{t=1}^{24} (I_{i,t}^{dso,buy} P_{i,t}^{buy} - I_{i,t}^{dso,sell} P_{i,t}^{sell}) \\
C_{i}^{gas} = \sum_{t=1}^{24} \lambda_{gas} (P_{i,t}^{gt} + H_{i,t}^{gb}) \\
C_{i}^{es} = \sum_{t=1}^{24} \lambda_{es} (P_{i,t}^{es,dis} + P_{i,t}^{es,cha}) \\
C_{i,t}^{dr} = \sum_{t=1}^{24} (\lambda_{e,cut} P_{i,t}^{cut} + \lambda_{e,trans} P_{i,t}^{trans} + \lambda_{h,cut} H_{i,t}^{cut}) \\
C_{i}^{p2p} = \sum_{t=1}^{24} \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{N} I_{t}^{i-j} P_{t}^{i-j}
\end{cases}$$
(5)

where: C^{vpp} represents the daily operating cost of the cooperative alliance of virtual power plants; C_i^{trade} , C_i^{gas} , C_i^{es} , $C_{i,t}^{dr}$ and C_i^{p2p} represent the transaction cost, gas purchase cost, energy storage equipment maintenance cost. compensation cost for demand response, respectively; $\lambda_{\text{\tiny eas}}$ represents the gas purchase price of the VPP; λ_{es} represents the energy storage charging and discharging maintenance cost factor; $\lambda_{e,cut}$, $\lambda_{e,trans}$ and $\lambda_{h,cut}$ represent the unit prices of compensations for curtailable electrical load, transferable electrical load and curtailable thermal load, respectively; I_{i}^{i-j} represents the interactive tariffs for VPPi and VPP*j*, P_t^{i-j} represents the amount of electricity interaction between VPPi and VPPj.

2.2.2 Constraints

$$P_{i,t}^{buy} - P_{i,t}^{sell} + P_{i,t}^{gt} + P_{i,t}^{es,dis} - P_{i,t}^{es,cha} + P_{i,t}^{wt} + P_{i,t}^{pv} + \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{N} P_{i}^{t-j} = P_{i,t}^{load}$$
(6)

$$H_{i,t}^{gt} + H_{i,t}^{gb} + H_{i,t}^{hp} = H_{i,t}^{load}$$
(7)

$$-P_{\max}^{p^2p} \le P_t^{i-j} \le P_{\max}^{p^2p} \tag{8}$$

$$P_{t}^{i-j} + P_{t}^{j-i} = 0 (9)$$

$$P_{i,t}^{load} = P_{i,t}^{pre,load} - P_{i,t}^{cut} + P_{i,t}^{trans}$$
(10)

$$H_{i,t}^{load} = H_{i,t}^{pre,load} - H_{i,t}^{cut}$$
(11)

Constraints (6)-(7) are constraints for electrical and thermal power equalization; (8)-(9) are constraints for electrical energy interaction; (10)-(11) constraints for demand response. $P_{i,t}^{gt}$, $P_{i,t}^{wt}$ and $P_{i,t}^{pv}$ represent the power of gas turbine, wind turbine and photovoltaic at time *t*. $H_{i,t}^{gt}$, $H_{i,t}^{gb}$ and $H_{i,t}^{hp}$ represent the heat power of gas turbine, gas boiler and heat pump. $P_{i,t}^{load}$ and $H_{i,t}^{load}$ represents the electric and heat load. $P_{i,t}^{pre,load}$ and $H_{i,t}^{pre,load}$ virtual power plant forecasts for electrical and thermal loads. $P_{i,t}^{cut}$, $P_{i,t}^{trans}$ and $H_{i,t}^{cut}$ represent curtailable, transferable electrical load and $P_{i,t}^{es,cha}$ represent energy storage charging and discharging power.

3. HYBRID GAME MODELLING AND SOLVING

3.1 DSO-Multi-Virtual Power Plant Master-Slave Game Model

The master-slave game has DSO as the leader and three virtual power plants forming a cooperative alliance as followers. The optimization problem for the upper-level leader and lower-level follower can be described as a master-slave game model with DSO as the leader and N virtual power plants as the followers, which can be mathematically represented as:

$$G = \left\{ D \bigcup S; Y^{\text{dso}}, X^{\text{vpp}}; F^{\text{dso}}, F^{\text{vpp}} \right\}$$
(12)

Where *G* represents the master-slave game model, *D* for leader, i.e. DSO; *S* for follower, i.e. multi-virtual power plant collection; $D \cup S$ denotes a game participant ; $Y^{\text{dso}} = (I_t^{\text{dso.buy}}, I_t^{\text{dso.sell}})$ represents the DSO strategy set i.e. the price set by the DSO for the purchase and sale of electricity. X^{vpp} represents a collection of strategies for virtual power plant cooperative alliances i.e. virtual power plants buying and selling electricity from DSO ; F^{dso} represents the leader's earnings , F^{vpp}

represents the cost function for the virtual power plant alliance.

3.2 Multi-Virtual Power Plant Cooperative Game Model

A cooperative game model for a multi-virtual power plant alliance is developed based on the fundamental principles of Nash bargaining theory. The specific equation is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \max \prod_{i=1}^{N} (C_{i}^{vpp,0} - C_{i}^{vpp}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad C_{i}^{vpp,0} - C_{i}^{vpp} \ge 0 \end{cases}$$
(13)

where C_i^{vpp} represents the cost of VPP*i* after participating in the cooperation; $C_i^{\text{vpp,0}}$ represents the Nash bargaining rupture point; i.e. the operating cost of VPP*i* before participating in the Nash bargaining; *N* is the total number of virtual plants participating in the cooperative bargaining; $C_i^{\text{vpp,0}} - C_i^{\text{vpp}}$ represents the benefit enhancement gained by VPP*i* after participating in the cooperative bargaining.

According to Nash bargaining theory [8,9], the equations (13) problem is solved by dividing it into two sub-problems: minimizing the cost of the alliance and maximizing the benefit of electricity payment. (1) Sub-problem 1: Cost optimization of multi-virtual power plant cooperative alliances.

$$\begin{cases} \min \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i}^{\text{vpp}} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad C_{i}^{\text{vpp}} = C_{i}^{\text{trade}} + C_{i}^{\text{gas}} + C_{i}^{\text{es}} + C_{i}^{\text{dr}} \end{cases}$$
(14)

(2) Sub-problem 2: Transaction payment for electricity

$$\begin{cases} \min -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln \left(C_{1}^{\text{vpp},*} + C_{1}^{\text{p2p}} - C_{1}^{\text{vpp},0} \right) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad C_{i}^{\text{vpp},*} + C_{i}^{\text{p2p}} \ge C_{i}^{\text{vpp},0} \end{cases}$$
(15)

3.3 Hybrid Game Model Solution

The hybrid game model developed in this paper is divided into two stages. The first stage is a master-slave game for the cooperative alliance between DSO and multi-virtual power plant, while the second stage is a cooperative game among multi-virtual power plant. The dichotomous method is used to solve the first stage of the master-slave game, while the ADMM algorithm is employed to solve the second stage of the multivirtual power plant cooperative game. The solution steps are as follows:

- Initialize the number of iterations *i*=0 and *k*=0, as well as the DSO and the initial data for each virtual power plant.
- The upper-level master-slave game model DSO is constrained by the optimal power purchase and sale plans P_t^{sell} and P_t^{buy} of the lower-level multi-virtual power plant, and the optimal purchase and sale decision of DSO and the minimum operating cost of the multi-virtual power plant cooperative alliance are solved by a dichotomous method using the CPLEX solver.
- Determine whether the upper-level masterslave game model converges. If it does not meet the convergence condition, use the solved power purchase and sale plan as data for the next iteration.
- *k*=*k*+1, continue iteration.
- The convergence condition is satisfied, and the iteration ends by outputting the optimal power purchase and sale plan, tariff strategy, as well as power interaction strategy for the lower-level multi-virtual power plant alliance.
- Solve the upper layer problem to obtain the optimal amount of electricity traded between micro-networks. Then substitute the solution into the lower-level problem, which is solved by using the ADMM algorithm with MOSEK solver.
- Determine whether the convergence condition is satisfied. If not, use the solved optimal interaction tariff as data for the next iteration.
- *i=i*+1, continue iteration;
- The convergence condition is satisfied, the iteration ends, and the optimal tariffs between virtual plants I_t^{1-2} , I_t^{1-3} and I_t^{2-3} are output

4. EXAMPLE PARAMETERS

The example uses a modified IEEE33 node distribution network, as shown in Fig. 1. VPP1, VPP2 and VPP3 are connected to the distribution network nodes through contact lines. The IEEE33 node distribution system is a classic

distribution network model abstracted and equivalent from actual systems, and is a typical radial network model. The distribution network interacts with the upper-level grid through node 1. The specific parameters of the distribution network are detailed in reference [10]. Figs. 2-4 shows the renewable energy output and load of each VPP. Table 1 shows the time-of-use tariff parameters for a day.

Table 1. Time-of-use tariff parameters

Period	purchase price / (\$/ kW·h)	sale price / (\$/ kW⋅h)
23:00 - the next day 07:00	0.4	0.2
7:00 - 11:00, 14:00 - 18:00	0.75	0.4
11:00 -14:00, 18:00 - 23:00	1.2	0.6



Fig. 1. IEEE33 node system topology







Fig. 3. VPP2 Load and PT output



Fig. 4. VPP3 Load and WT output

5. NUMERICAL STUDY

5.1 Strategy Analysis

To verify the effectiveness of the scheme proposed in this chapter, the following three cases were set up for comparative analysis and the results of the operations under different cases are shown in Table 2:

- Case 1: Master-slave game between DSO and virtual power plant is not considered, and cooperative game between multi-virtual power plant is not considered.
- Case 2: Consider the master-slave game between DSO and virtual power plants, and do not consider the cooperative game between virtual power plants.
- Case 3: Consider the master-slave game between DSO and virtual power plants, and consider the cooperative game between multi-virtual power plant.

5.2 Analysis of Trading Results

In the hybrid game constructed in this paper, the master-slave game phase determines the purchase and sale prices of electricity from the DSO for the cooperative alliance of virtual power plants. The cooperative game phase sets the price of electricity for inter-virtual power plant interactions within each virtual power plant.

The power purchase from the DSO by the multivirtual power plant consortium solved in the master-slave game phase is shown in Fig. 5. The dynamic tariff strategy developed by the DSO during the master-slave game stage is shown in Fig. 6. Figs. 5 and 6 demonstrate a strong correlation between the dynamic tariffs set by the DSO and the power purchase and sale plans of the lower tier virtual power plant consortium. Specifically, during the period from 00:00 to 08:00, when the lower tier virtual power plant alliance purchases more electricity, higher tariffs are set by the DSO. During the 09:00-22:00 period, the DSO does not sell electricity to virtual power plants because its tariff is at its peak and virtual power plants prefer internal interaction to reduce operating costs. Therefore, the DSO sets a lower tariff and a higher purchase price to attract virtual power plants to sell electricity to it. During the 22:00-24:00 period, the DSO will increase both the price of electricity sold and purchased by the virtual power plant consortium in order to boost its revenue.

	DSO revenue /\$	VPP	Electricity payment proceeds /\$	Running costs /\$	Revenue/\$
Case 1	0	1	0	8632.58	0
		2	0	14226.30	0
		3	0	3463.50	0
Case 2	8317.03	1	0	8424.47	0
		2	0	14163.98	0
		3	0	3029.52	0
Case 3	7897.79	1	2104.15	8632.58	537.92
		2	3547.08	14226.30	517.81
		3	1510.49	3463.50	524.04

Table 2. Analysis of operating results in different cases



Fig. 5. Power purchase plan for lower-level virtual power plants



Fig. 6. Dynamic tariffs set by upper-level DSO

Fig. 7 illustrates the electrical energy interactions for each virtual power plant at a lower level, while

Fig. 8 shows the interactive tariff for each virtual power plant at that same level.



Fig. 7. VPP electricity interaction results



Fig. 8. VPP interactive tariff

6. CONCLUSION

This paper takes the collaborative optimization operation of distribution network and multi-virtual power plant as the research object. A two-layer optimization model of the distribution network and multi-virtual power plant hybrid game is established. The DSO is the leader of the distribution network operator and the multi-virtual power plant cooperative alliance is the follower, establishing a master-slave game model. The DSO's tariff strategy is used to develop the optimal operating strategy with the objective of minimising their own operating costs. The lower layer is a cooperative game model based on the interaction between multi-virtual power plant, and Nash bargaining is used to allocate the benefits of cooperation between multi-virtual power plant. The example compares the operation cost of the hybrid game with that of the master-slave game. The results show that the model proposed in this chapter can effectively take into account the benefits of the distribution network and the multivirtual power plant, and achieve a win-win situation for multiple entities.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Wei Z, Yu S, Sun G, et al. Concept and Development of Virtual Power Plant. Automation of Electric Power Systems. 2013;37(13):1-9.
- 2. Liu D, Fan Q, You H, et al. Research Status and Trends of Virtual Power Plants

Under Electrical Internet of Things. Advanced Engineering Sciences. 2020; 52(4):3-12.

- Nosratabadi Hooshmand 3. SM. RA. Ε. Gholipour Stochastic profit-based scheduling of industrial virtual power plant demand usina the best response strategy [J]. Applied Energy. 2016;164: 590-606.
- 4. Liu J, Li M, Fang F, et al. Review on Virtual Power Plants. Proceedings of the CSEE. 2014;34(29):5103-5111.
- 5. Zhou B, Zhang Y, Zang T, Zhang H, Peng H, et al. Blockchain-based stackelberg game optimal operation of multiple virtual power plants. Electr Power Autom Equip. 2021;46(1):155-163.
- Zhou B, Lü L, Gao H, et al. Robust dayahead trading strategy for multiple virtual power plants. Power Syst Technol. 2018;42(8):2694-2703.
- Qiu G, Yu X, Jin Y, Yang A, et al. Economic dispatching of regional power grid based on multi-virtual power plant game. Proc of the CSU-EPSA. 2021; 33(6):75-83.
- Fan T, Wang H, Wang W, et al. Coordinated Optimization Scheduling of Microgrid and Distribution Network Based on Cooperative Game Considering Active/Passive Demand Response. Power System Technology. 2022;46(2):453-462
- Zhong Y, Li Y, Hu B, et al. Hierarchical collaborative optimal scheduling of economy energy efficiency in energy internet based on cooperative game. Electric Power Automation Equipment. 2022;42(1):55-64.

10. Liu L, Luo N, Wu T, et al. Optimal scheduling of virtual power plant considering demand side response based

on mixed integer second-order cone programming. Acta Energiae Solaris Sinica. 2021;42(8):96-104.

© 2023 Huang; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/100872