

Asian Research Journal of Agriculture

Volume 17, Issue 4, Page 443-448, 2024; Article no.ARJA.123956 ISSN: 2456-561X

Effect of Different Growing Media on Shoot Growth of Dragon Fruit Cuttings [*Hylocereus undatus* L. (Haworth) Britton & Rose]

Anup Baitha ^a, R.S.Verma ^{a*}, Mahandra Kumar Dhanka ^a, Shikha Choudhary ^a, Saurabh Verma ^a and Bipin Kumar ^a

^a Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural sciences and Technology, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Vidya-Vihar, Rae Bareli Road, Lucknow 226025, (U.P), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/arja/2024/v17i4545

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123956

Original Research Article

Received: 27/07/2024 Accepted: 30/09/2024 Published: 07/10/2024

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out under open field conditions at Horticulture Research Farm, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow,Uttar Pradesh, India, to determine the effect of different growing media on the shoot growth of dragon fruit cuttings (*Hylocereus undatus* L.) during the years 2022–23. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RBD) with three replications. There were 8 treatments, viz., T1-Soil, T2-Sand, T3-Vermicompost, T₄-Coco Peat, T5-Farmyard Manure, T6-Soil+Sand+Farmyard Manure, T7-Soil+ Sand+Vermicompost and T₈-Soil+Vermicompost+Coco Peat. Treatments have shown significant

Cite as: Baitha, Anup, R.S.Verma, Mahandra Kumar Dhanka, Shikha Choudhary, Saurabh Verma, and Bipin Kumar. 2024. "Effect of Different Growing Media on Shoot Growth of Dragon Fruit Cuttings [Hylocereus Undatus L. (Haworth) Britton & Rose]". Asian Research Journal of Agriculture 17 (4):443-48. https://doi.org/10.9734/arja/2024/v17i4545.

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: ravihort.009@gmail.com;

differences among the growth parameters in terms of number of days taken for sprouting, percent sprouting, number of sprouts per cutting, shoot diameter, length of sprout, number of spines/areoles, fresh weight of shoot, and dry weight of shoot. Among the treatment combinations, the least number of days taken for sprouting, maximum percent sprouting, maximum number of sprouts per cutting, maximum sprout and shoot length of stem cutting, maximum increase in diameter of shoot, maximum number of spines/areoles, maximum fresh weight of shoot, and maximum dry weight of shoot were found in treatment T7-Soil+ Sand+ Vermicompost, followed by T8-Soil+ Vermicompost+. Coco peat, while the minimum has been recorded in T1-Soil.

Keywords: Shoot growth; growing media; vermicompost; FYM; cocopeat.

1. INTRODUCTION

Dragon fruit [Hylocereus undatus L. (Haworth) Britton & Rose] is a perennial climbing cactus. It is a tropical climbing vine fruit crop that is a member of the Cactaceae family. It first gained appeal as an attractive plant before becoming a fruit crop, and today it is grown all over the world. According to the skin and pulp colour, the 16 species that make up the genus Hylocereus can be divided into three distinct species: Hylocereus costaricensis (red skin and red pulp). Hylocereus polyrhizus (red skin and red pulp), Hylocereus undatus (red skin, white pulp), and Hylocereus megalanthus (vellow peel and white pulp) [1]. Additionally, it has a lot of vitamin C and other antioxidants that support the immune system. Among the antioxidants found in it are flavonoids, phenolic acid, and betacyanin. According to Vaillant et al. [2] dragon fruit is a potential crop that might be produced profitably in dry areas. The easiest, guickest, and most effective method of propagating dragon fruit is by stem cutting. Cross-pollination prevents seeds from being true to type even when the seed propagation process is fairly straightforward [3]. Stem cuttings are the best method for achieving dragon fruit reproduction [4]. There are several different growth media in which stem cuttings can be grown. The media ought to be clean, homogeneous in texture and fineness, but loose and thoroughly aerated. It should be devoid of weed seeds, nematodes, pests, and disease, Good media has the ability to retain moisture but is also well-drained. It is essential to choose the right growing medium while propagating dragon fruit because it is essential to its growth and cultivation. The media composition (mixing sand with organic materials) can be an alternative growing medium for successful propagation if you want to get high-quality cuttings.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at the Horticulture Research Farm, Department of

Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A Central University), Vidya Vihar Rae Bareli Road, Lucknow U.P. (India) during the year 2022-23 to study the effect of different growing media on shoot development of dragon fruit (Hylocereus undatus L.) cuttings under polyhouse. The experiment field was situated at 26'55' North latitude and 80'59Longitude, and the elevation was 123 meters above mean sea level (MSL). The present investigation was laid out in a randomized block design (RBD) with eight treatment combinations replicated three times. and the number of cuttings in each replication is two. Thus, there were a total of 48 plants. Shooted cuttings of three-year-old plants were collected from progressive farmer Shri Ram Sharan Verma at Rasoolpur in Sultanpur and planted directly in the field. The various treatment combinations of (T1-Soil. T2-Sand. T3-Vermicompost, T4-Coco Peat, T5-FYM, T6-Soil+Sand+FYM, T7-Soil+Sand+Vermicompost, T8-Soil + Vermicompost + Coco Peat) The observation respectively. on shoot growth parameters-minimum days taken to sprout initiation, number of sprouts per cutting, shoot length, shoot fresh weight, shoot dry weight, root to shoot ratio recorded at 30, 60, and 90 DAP. The data recorded from the present studies were subjected to analysis using the standard method suggested by Panse and Sukhatme [5].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Shoot Parameters

Number of days taken for sprouting: In the present investigation, the influence of different growing media and their combinations has greatly influenced the days taken for sprout initiation. Significant differences were seen between Auxin concentrations for days taken to first sprout. Similar results were also concluded by Awasthi et al. [6] in guava and Minz [7] in dragon fruit cuttings.

Sprouting Percentage: The maximum percentage of sprouting was recorded at 30 days in cuttings grown in (T7) soil + sand + vermicompost (33.33%), which was followed by (T8) soil + vermicompost + coco peat (31.68%). While the control (T1) recorded the minimum percentage of sprouting (11.44%). The maximum percentage of sprouting was recorded at 60 days in cuttings combination with (T7) Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (49.88%), which was at par with (T8) Soil + Vermicompost + Coco Peat (48.68%). While the control (T1) recorded the minimum percentage of sprouting (18.22%) [8].

Number of sprouts per cutting: The data pertaining to the number of sprouts per cutting is influenced by different growing media with different combinations at the different stages of growth. Number of sprouts per cutting at 30 days after planting. The maximum number of sprouts per cutting (0.85) was recorded in the cuttings grown in (T₇) Soil+Sand+Vermicompost, which was at par with (T_6) (0.77) Soil+Sand+FYM. While minimum numbers of sprouts (0.44) were recorded in control (T₁). Number of sprouts per cutting at 60 days after planting: The maximum number of sprouts (1.59) was recorded in cuttings grown in (T7) soil + sand vermicompost, which was on par with (T6) soil + sand + FYM (1.51).

While the minimum numbers of sprouts (1.15) were recorded in (T₁) control.Number of sprouts per cutting at 90 days after planting: The maximum number of sprouts (2.02) was recorded grown in (T_7) Soil+Sand+ in cuttings Vermicompost, which were followed by (T6) Soil+Sand+FYM(1.96). While minimum numbers of sprouts (1.45) were recorded in control (T₁). This result was in close agreement with the evaluation of Panchal et al. [9] in Sapota. Similarly. Rashmita et al. [10] also recorded the maximum number of sprouts in treatment consisting of soil + vermicompost (1:1) in pear (Prunus persica L.) cuttings [11].

Sprout length and shoot length (cm): The data pertaining to length of sprout and shoot per cutting as influenced by different growing media with different combinations at the different stages of growth. Sprout length at 30 days after planting (cm): the maximum sprout length was recorded in cuttings grown in (T7) soil + sand + vermicompost (2.10 cm), which was at par with (T8) soil + vermicompost + coco peat (2.05 cm). While the least was found in (T1) control (1.08 cm). Shoot length at 60 days after planting (cm)

the highest shoot length was recorded in cuttings grown in (T7) soil + sand + vermicompost (5.44 cm), which was followed by (T6) soil + sand + FYM (6.36 cm). While the minimum length of shoots (1.04 cm) was recorded in control (T1). Shoot length at 90 days after planting (cm) the maximum shoot length (8.76 cm) was recorded in cuttings combination with Soil+Sand+ Vermicompost (T7), which was followed by (T₈) Soil + Vermicompost + Coco Peat (7.66 cm). While the minimum shoot length (2.97 cm) was recorded in control (T₁) [12].

Diameter of shoot per cutting: Diameter of shoot At 30 days after planting (mm), the maximum diameter of shoot (2.06 mm) was recorded in cuttings grown in (T7) soil + sand + vermicompost, which were on (T8) soil + vermicompost + coco peat (2.01 mm). While the minimum diameter of the shoot (1.07 mm) was recorded in control (T1). Diameter of shoot per cutting at 60 days after planting (mm) the maximum diameter of shoot (2.06 mm) was recorded in (T7) soil + sand + vermicompost, which was on par with (T8) soil + vermicompost + coco peat (2.01 mm). While the minimum diameter of the shoot (1.07 mm) was recorded in control (T₁). Diameter of shoot per cutting at 90 days after planting (mm): the maximum diameter of shoot (3.14 mm) was recorded in (T7) soil + sand + vermicompost, which was on par with (T8) soil + vermicompost + coco peat (2.98 mm). While the minimum diameter of the shoot (1.45 mm) was recorded in control (T1). The results of Verma et al. [13] in dragon fruit and Rana et al. [14] research on sweet orange were in accordance with these findings.

Number of spines per areole: The data pertaining to the number of spines per areole as influenced by different growing media with different combinations at the different stages of growth. 1 Number of spines per areole cutting at 30 days after planting (mm) The maximum number of spines per areole (3.86) was recorded in cuttings grown in (T7) soil + sand + vermicompost, which was on par with (T8) soil + vermicompost + coco peat (3.79). While the minimum number of spines (3.25) was recorded in control (T₁). Number of spines per areole cutting at 60 days after planting (mm) the maximum number of spines per areole (4.04) was recorded in (T7) soil + sand vermicompost, which was on par with (T8) soil + vermicompost + coco peat (3.92). While the minimum number of spines (3.77) was recorded in control (T1). Number of spines per areole

| Treatment | Experiment | periment Number of days taken for | | | % Sprouting of shoot per | | r Sn | Sprouting per stem | | | Sprout and shoot length | | | Shoot diameter of stem | | Number of spines per | | | Fresh weight of shoot of | | | Dry weight of shoot of | | |
|------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------|--------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|-------|------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| ricument | al details | sprouting | | | stem cutting | | . 00 | cutting | | of stem cutting (cm) | | | cutting (mm) | | areole (mm) | | | stem cutting (g) | | | stem cutting (g) | | | |
| | ui uotano | 30 | 30DAP | 60DAP | 30DAP | 60DAP | 90DAP | 30DAP | 60DAP | 90 | 30DAP | 60DAP | 90 | 30DAP | 60DAP | 90 | 30DAP | 60DAP | 90 | 30DAP | 60DAP | 90 DAP | | |
| | | DAP | 002/4 | 002/4 | 00274 | 00274 | 002/4 | 002/1 | 002/1 | DAP | 002/4 | 002/4 | DAP | 00274 | 002/1 | DAP | 002/4 | | DAP | 002/1 | 002/1 | 00 274 | | |
| T1 | Soil | 15.33 | 11.01 | 16.99 | 0.44 | 1.15 | 1.45 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 2.97 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 1.45 | 3.25 | 3.77 | 3.77 | 9.11 | 19.59 | 25.85 | 1.96 | 3.45 | 6.79 | | |
| T2 | Sand | 13.35 | 15.35 | 18.55 | 0.59 | 1.32 | 1.55 | 1.69 | 3.85 | 5.66 | 1.75 | 1.75 | 1.47 | 3.55 | 3.78 | 3.89 | 10.15 | 19.45 | 28.45 | 2.14 | 4.7 | 7.09 | | |
| T3 | Vermicomp | 12.55 | 16.51 | 23.77 | 0.63 | 1.37 | 1.65 | 1.65 | 3.99 | 5.99 | 1.88 | 1.88 | 1.99 | 3.74 | 3.74 | 3.82 | 10.25 | 20.14 | 29.36 | 2.96 | 4.78 | 7.55 | | |
| | ost | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T4 | Coco Peat | 11.12 | 18.99 | 28.77 | 0.66 | 1.41 | 1.75 | 1.72 | 4.26 | 6.41 | 1.98 | 1.98 | 2.11 | 3.74 | 3.81 | 3.74 | 10.85 | 19.96 | 35.45 | 3.45 | 4.89 | 7.65 | | |
| T5 | FYM | 10.11 | 17.54 | 28.05 | 0.71 | 1.44 | 1.81 | 1.88 | 4.77 | 6.81 | 1.99 | 1.99 | 2.45 | 3.75 | 3.52 | 3.92 | 10.45 | 20.45 | 38.45 | 3.88 | 5.45 | 8.15 | | |
| Т6 | Soil+ Sand +FYM | 9.45 | 19.55 | 32.44 | 0.77 | 1.51 | 1.96 | 1.85 | 5.21 | 6.55 | 1.88 | 1.88 | 2.74 | 3.77 | 3.79 | 4.06 | 10.44 | 20.85 | 34.85 | 3.99 | 5.98 | 8.05 | | |
| T7 | Soil+ Sand +Vermicom post | 9.55 | 29.55 | 34.55 | 0.85 | 1.59 | 2.02 | 2.10 | 5.44 | 8.76 | 2.06 | 2.06 | 3.14 | 3.86 | 4.04 | 4.21 | 12.14 | 22.85 | 48.55 | 4.77 | 6.78 | 9.85 | | |
| Τ8 | Soil + Vermicomp ost+ Coco Peat | 8.55 | 23.55 | 32.55 | 0.67 | 1.15 | 1.73 | 2.05 | 2.11 | 7.66 | 2.01 | 2.01 | 2.98 | 3.79 | 3.92 | 4.15 | 11.15 | 19.59 | 40.47 | 4.25 | 6.01 | 9.45 | | |
| C.D. at 5% | | 0.494 | 1.209 | 1.222 | 0.025 | 0.070 | 0.093 | 0.078 | 0.201 | 0.319 | 0.087 | 0.103 | 0.119 | 0.153 | 0.173 | 0.152 | 0.500 | 0.950 | 1.799 | 0.163 | 0.284 | 0.325 | | |
| SE(m) ± | | 0.161 | 0.395 | 0.399 | 0.008 | 0.023 | 0.031 | 0.025 | 0.066 | 0.104 | 0.028 | 0.034 | 0.039 | 0.050 | 0.056 | 0.050 | 0.163 | 0.310 | 0.587 | 0.053 | 0.093 | 0.106 | | |

Table 1. Effect of different growing media on shoot growth of dragon fruit cuttings [Hylocereus undatus L. (Haworth) Britton & Rose]

cuttings at 90 days after planting (mm): the maximum number of spines per areole (4.21) was recorded (T7) Soil+Sand+Vermicompost, which was on par with (T₈) Soil + Vermicompost+Coco Peat (3.92). While the minimum number of spines (3.77) was recorded in control (T₁) [15].

Shoot fresh weight (g): The data on the shoot fresh weight of Dragon fruit cuttings as influenced by different mixtures of different growing media with different combinations. The treatments differed significantly at 30, 60, and 90 days after planting. Shoot fresh weight of dragon fruit cuttings at 30 days after planting (g): the highest shoot fresh weight was seen in dragon fruit cuttings grown in (T7) soil, sand, and vermicompost (12.14 g), which was found at par with (T8) soil, vermicompost, and coco peat (11.15 g). The lowest fresh weight was seen in (T1) control (9.11 g). Shoot fresh weight of dragon fruit cuttings at 60 days after planting (g): the highest shoot fresh weight was observed in dragon fruit cuttings grown in (T7) soil. + sand + vermicompost (22.85 g), and it was found at par with (T6) soil + sand + FYM (24.25 g). The least shoot fresh weight of Dragon fruit cuttings was observed in (T_1) control (19.59 a). Shoot fresh weight of dragon fruit cuttings at 90 days after planting (g) the highest shoot fresh weight was recorded in dragon fruit cuttings grown in (T7) soil + sand + vermicompost (48.55 g), which was at par with (T8) soil + vermicompost + coco peat (40.47 g). The least shoot fresh weight of Dragon fruit cuttings was recorded (T₁) in control (25.85 g). Similar results were in accordance with Dhakar et al. [16] in papaya and Yadav et al. [17] in acid lime.

Shoot dry weight (g): The data on the shoot dry weight of Dragon fruit cuttings as influenced by different mixtures of different growing media. The treatments differed significantly at 30, 60, and 90 days after planting. Shoot dry weight of Dragon fruit cuttings at 30 days after planting (g) Among the combination, the highest shoot dry weight was seen in dragon fruit cuttings grown in (T7) soil + sand + vermicompost (4.77 g), which was on par with (T8) soil + vermicompost + coco peat (4.25 g), and the least shoot dry weight of dragon fruit cuttings was found in (T1) control (1.96 g). Shoot dry weight of dragon fruit cuttings at 60 days after planting (g): the highest shoot dry weight was seen in dragon fruit cuttings grown in (T7) soil + sand + vermicompost (6.78 g), which was at par with (T8) soil + vermicompost + coco peat (6.01 g). The minimum shoot dry weight of Dragon fruit

cuttings was recorded in (T_1) control (3.45 g). Shoot dry weight of dragon fruit cuttings at 90 days after planting (g) the maximum shoot dry weight was recorded in dragon fruit cuttings grown in (T7) soil + sand + vermicompost (9.85 which was at par with (T8) soil + g), vermicompost + coco peat (9.45 g). The minimum shoot dry weight of Dragon fruit cuttings was recorded (T1) in control (6.79 g). Similar findings were also observed by Dhakar et al. [15] in papaya seedlings, where media combined with soil + FYM+ sand + vermicompost (1:1:1:1) recorded significantly maximum dry weight. Similarly, Prajapati et al. [18] reported that media with soil + vermicompost (1:1) registered maximum dry weight of shoot in acid lime.

4. CONCLUSION

- In shoot parameters, number of sprouts per cutting, shoot length, shoot fresh and dry weight, and root to shoot ratio were influenced under the treatment T7-Soil + Sand + Vermicompost. However, the minimum days to sprout initiation were achieved under the treatment T7: Soil + Sand + Vermicompost.
- 2. In conclusion, it can be stated that vermicompost is suitable to be used as growing media for cuttings. It can be combined with soil and sand to improve the quality.
- 3. The application of vermicompost with soil and sand was found to be best and performed better among all the media combinations in terms of shoot growth parameters.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

 Nerd A, Sitrit Y, Kaushik RA, Mizrahi Y. High summer temperatures inhibit flowering in vine pitaya crops (Hylocereus spp.). Scientia Horticulturae. 2002;9(6): 343-350.

- Valliant F, Imbert E, Belleca FL. Pitahaya (Hylocereus spp.): a new fruit crop, a market with a future. Edition Diffusion Presse Sciences. 2005;61(4): 237–250.
- Andrade RA, de, Oliveira IV, De M, Martins ABG. Influence of condition and storage period in germination of red pitaya seeds. Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura. 2005;27 (1):168-170.
- 4. Chapai A, Upadhayaya KP, Adhikari S, Thapa K. Dragon Fruit Farming in Nepal: A Comprehensive Review. International Journal of Horticulture. 2024;14.
- Panse VG, Sukhatame PV. Statistical Methods for Agriculture Workers, p. 381, edn. 2. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi; 1967.
- Awasthi P, Lal S, Singh BC. Influence of stooling time and IBA concentrations on growth attributes of stooled shoots in guava Pant Prabhat. Progressive Research. 2008;3(2):154-156.
- Minz V. Effect of growing media and plant growth regulators on root and shoot growth of dragon fruit cuttings. M.Sc. (Horti.) Fruit Science thesis, Indira Gandhi KrishiVishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattishgarh. 2021;1-78.
- 8. Mizrahi Y, Nerd A. New crops as a possible solution for the troubled Israeli export market. 1996;37-45.
- Panchal GP, Parasana JS, Patel SR, Patel MV. Effect of different growing media and levels of IBA on growth and development of khimi (*Manilkarahexandra* L.) seedlings cv. Local. Global Journal of Bio-Science Biotechnology. 2014;3(4):379-383.
- Rashmita R. Effect of different media on rooting and survival of Pear (*Pyruspyrifolia* L.) Cuttings cv. Pathamakh. M.Sc. (Ag.) Horticulture thesis, Birsa Agricultural University, Kanke, Ranchi, Jharkhand. 2015;1-68.
- 11. Dvin SR, Moghadam EG, Kiani M. Rooting response of hardwood Cuttings of MMI11 Apple clonal rootstock to Indole

butyric acid and rooting media. Asian Journal of Applied Sciences. 2011;4(4): 453-458.

- 12. Michi Tani, Hemant Kumar Panigrahi, Amita Sarkar, Gunja Thakur and Reshma Tahelyani Studies on the effect of different growing media on survivability, root and shoot growth of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus polyrhizus* L.) cuttings. 2021;10(9):1497-1503.
- Verma RS, Lata R, Ram RB, Verma SS, Prakash S. Effect of organic, inorganic, and biofertilizers on vegetative characters of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus undatus* L.) plant. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2019;8(6):726–728.
- Rana H, Sharma K, Negi M. Effect of organic manure and biofertilizers on plant growth, yield, and quality of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(4):2064-2070.
- Ranawana SRWMCJK, Eswara JP. Effect of type and size of stem cutting and propagation media for multiplication of pineapple (*Ananascomosus* L.). Tropical Agricultural Research. 2009;20: 388-394.
- Dhakar SS, Kaushik RA, Sarolia DK. Influence of growing media and containers on germination and seedling growth of papaya (*Cartca papaya* L.) cv. PusaNanha. Green Farming. 2016;72: 451-454.
- Yadav RK, Jain MC, Jhakar RP. Effect of media on growth and development of acid lime (Citrus aurantifolia Swingle) with or without Azotobacter. African Journal of Agriculture Research. 2012;7(48):6421-6426.
- Prajapati DG, Satodiya BN, Desai AB, Nagar PK. Influence of storage period and growing media on seed germination and growth of acid lime seedlings (Citrus aurantifolia swingle) cv. Kagzi. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(4):1641-1645.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123956